IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 873 OF 2005

IN

FIRST APPEAL NO. 11 OF 2003

SHRI USMAN KHAN KAROL, VALPOI,SATTARI-GOA & ANR., ...Applicants

Versus

SHEIK AZIZ SHEIK HASSAN AND ORS., ...Respondents

Mr. P. S. Rao, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. A. Mashelkar, Advocate for respodnent no. 1(a to E), 2,3,4(1-7).

Mr. V. A. Lawande, Advocate for respondent No.5(a), 5(d), to 5(f), 5(h), 5(i), 5(k) to 5 (m).

Coram:- P.V. KAKADE, J.

Date:- 22nd August, 2006

P.C.

Heard Advocates for respondents 2,3,4(1-7), 5(a), 5(d), to 5(f), 5(h), 5(i), 5(k) to 5 (m). In view of the facts and circumstances, the application is required to be allowed in the interest of justice. Hence, it is allowed in terms of prayer clause (A) and stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

P.V. KAKADE, J.

ssm.